

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,922		03/26/2004	Brian Scott Bronk	PC9576D	9408
28523	7590	11/24/2004		EXAM	INER
PFIZER IN		ENT MS8260 1611	PESELEV, ELLI		
	PATENT DEPARTMENT, MS8260-1611 EASTERN POINT ROAD				PAPER NUMBER
GROTON, CT 06340				1623	
				DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/810,922	BRONK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elli Peselev	1623
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will.	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO lature. Cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NOTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		*
	This action is non-final.	<i>,</i> •
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims	•	
	-4i	
4) Claim(s) 29-31 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s)		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Maria I. C. C.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.
ttachment/e\		. 4
ttachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b></b>	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Gummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) U Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,922

Art Unit: 1623

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the status of the parent application no. 10/273,879 has not been updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Markush terminology used is improper since the terminology "a bacterial infection" is included twice. Further, it is not clear how a protozoal infection can encompass a bacterial infection. Such terminology as "A method of treating a bacterial infection or a protozoal infection" can be used to overcome the above rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,420,536. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed compound is encompassed by the patented compounds.

Claims 29-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,777,393. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed compound, composition and method are encompassed by the patented composition and method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

alla Real

Elli Peselev